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As a society, we are talking about ageing more than ever before. We are becoming more aware that what we do throughout our lifecourse will have an impact on our lives as older adults. Shifts are occurring in how we perceive ageing and in the perception and understanding of how older people can contribute to society. These are positive changes happening in our society today. We need to make sure that these positive changes are also reflected for members of our society ageing with an intellectual disability.

When the first report on positive ageing indicators was published, it was suggested that additional indicators should be developed for people with an intellectual disability. This report delivers this and represents an important step in ensuring that people with an intellectual disability are included in the conversation on ageing nationally. Over the coming years, changes that occur as a result of policies will be reflected in changes seen in these indicators. The information provided here also highlights areas where there is greatest disparity between the ageing experience for people with an intellectual disability and their peers in the general population. This knowledge can be used to inform future policies and to aid in the pursuit of optimal service provision for people with an intellectual disability.

It is important to note that while there are differences in the ageing experience of people with an intellectual disability compared to the general population, there are also similarities. Both people with an intellectual disability and in the general population report providing support to relatives. There was a similarity also between those with and without an intellectual disability in the proportion of people who reported having a supportive friend and someone they can confide in. Levels of social activity were also similar for both populations. This is of such great importance, as we know that social interactions play a vital role in successful ageing. It also reminds us that we need to promote these fundamental human connections across all of our society, and that we need to continue working to build a society that facilitates the inclusion of all.

This report also highlights areas in which people with an intellectual disability face additional challenges. With higher reporting of chronic conditions and higher medication use, the report emphasises areas where this population is more vulnerable than the general population and may require additional support.

I would like to thank the participants who took part in the Delphi process, and the participants, along with those who support them, who took part in the consultation groups. I would also like to thank Prof McCarron and the IDS-TILDA study which has provided much of the data in this report, and which has been working to promote successful ageing in a population with intellectual disability for the past ten years. Finally, we extend our thanks to all of those in the Department of Health and to everyone who has contributed to this report.

Minister Finian McGrath TD
Minister of State with special responsibility for Disability Issues
# GLOSSARY OF TERMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADL</td>
<td>Activities of Daily Living</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Body Mass Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CES-D</td>
<td>Centre for Epidemiological Studies - Depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOH</td>
<td>Department of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQLS</td>
<td>European Quality of Life Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-LFS</td>
<td>European Labour Force Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP</td>
<td>General Practitioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS</td>
<td>Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HaPAI</td>
<td>Healthy and Positive Ageing Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSE</td>
<td>Health Service Executive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDS-TILDA</td>
<td>The Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPAQ</td>
<td>International Physical Activity Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIDD</td>
<td>National Intellectual Disability Database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPAS</td>
<td>National Positive Ageing Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIACC</td>
<td>Programme for the International Assessment of Adult Competencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QNHS</td>
<td>Quarterly National Household Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TILDA</td>
<td>The Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organisation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
KEY FINDINGS

HEALTHY AGEING

HEALTH SCREENING WAS HIGH FOR PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY

- 57% of eligible women had a mammogram
- 91% had the flu vaccine
- 31% have had a memory screening

FOUR OUT OF FIVE PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY REPORT HIGH LIFE SATISFACTION

- 81% of people rate their health as GOOD, VERY GOOD, OR EXCELLENT

MORE THAN SEVEN OUT OF TEN PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY WERE TAKING 5 OR MORE MEDICATIONS

- 86% of people with an intellectual disability are age 40+

HEALTH BEHAVIOURS

- 80% are overweight or obese

- 7% smoke

- 62% never drink alcohol

- 85% are sedentary or underactive

- 44% have chronic constipation
- 74% have poor bone health
- 28% have no teeth or dentures

OF PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY
HOUSING

67% of people with an intellectual disability DO NOT HAVE A KEY TO THEIR OWN HOME

66% of people with an intellectual disability not living with family LIVE WITH 5+ PEOPLE

TECHNOLOGY

82% of people with an intellectual disability DO NOT USE A COMPUTER OR TABLET

PARTICIPATION

93% of people with an intellectual disability WERE NOT IN OPEN PAID EMPLOYMENT

79% of people with an intellectual disability have DIFFICULTY WITH USING MONEY

79% of people with an intellectual disability feel PART OF THEIR COMMUNITY

ATTITUDES TOWARDS AGEING

81% of people with an intellectual disability are ENGAGED IN DAY PROGRAMMES

96% The vast majority of respondents said the day activity they described was WHAT THEY WANTED TO DO

96% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ were involved in ONE OR MORE SOCIAL ACTIVITY AT LEAST ONCE A WEEK

48% of people with an intellectual disability feel that there WERE GOOD THINGS ABOUT GETTING OLDER
1.1 THE NATIONAL POSITIVE AGEING STRATEGY

The National Positive Ageing Strategy outlines a vision for ageing in Ireland, where people are supported and enabled to enjoy physical and mental health and wellbeing to their full potential as they grow older. The strategy recognises that healthy ageing is not solely a health issue, but rather a set of interconnected factors, including social, economic and environmental factors, that all influence health and wellbeing. The focus on positive ageing aims to change the narrative of ageing away from one focused on decline towards one that highlights the contributions of older people to society and that sees later years as a time of new opportunities.

THE STRATEGY SETS OUT 4 GOALS TO ACHIEVE THIS VISION:

1. Remove barriers to participation and provide more opportunities for the continued involvement of people as they age in all aspects of cultural, economic and social life in their communities according to their needs, preferences and capacities

2. Support people, as they age, to maintain, improve or manage their physical and mental health and wellbeing

3. Enable people to age with confidence, security and dignity in their own homes and communities for as long as possible

4. Support and use research about people as they age to better inform policy responses to population ageing in Ireland

The Healthy and Positive Ageing Initiative

The Healthy and Positive Ageing Initiative (HaPAI) was established in 2015 to address Goal 4 of the strategy: “Support and use research about people as they age to better inform policy responses to population ageing in Ireland”. HaPAI is a joint national programme led by the Department of Health, with Atlantic Philanthropies, the Health Service Executive (HSE) and the Age Friendly Ireland programme. In order to monitor progress and to support good planning and policy development, HaPAI developed a set of National Positive Ageing Indicators. An indicator is something that can be used to measure changes or progress in a situation over time, or to compare groups. In particular, indicators can be used to assess progress in the goals or targets of a programme or policy. The set of indicators developed by HaPAI
covers a wide range of factors associated with positive ageing, from social, health, security and financial perspectives. These indicators will be monitored biennially, using data from existing research databases to examine whether there is any change at a population level, and to monitor whether changes in policy are having an impact on the lives of older people.

In 2016, the National Positive Ageing Indicators were developed for the general population using a collaborative approach (Gibney, Sexton & Shannon, 2018). A Delphi technique was used, where groups of experts engaged in an online survey process in order to gain a consensus opinion. Using this process and gaining consensus among experts, a set of 56 Positive Ageing Indicators was developed for the general population.

During the consensus process, the HaPAI team recommended the importance of developing specific Positive Ageing Indicators for several groups in Irish society who may not be represented in the national indicator set; one particular group was people with an intellectual disability (Healthy and Positive Ageing Initiative, 2018).

1.2 NATIONAL POSITIVE AGEING INDICATORS FOR PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY

Previous research suggests that the ageing experience for people with an intellectual disability may differ from the general population, and that issues associated with ageing may occur for people with an intellectual disability at an earlier age than for the general population. Research has shown that not only is prevalence of certain conditions higher among a younger age group, but different patterns of disease are present for people with an intellectual disability compared to the general population. It is important also to recognise that there may be differences in the structure of social participation and family networks for people with an intellectual disability and to understand how these differences may have an effect on positive ageing.

1.3 THE INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY SUPPLEMENT TO THE IRISH LONGITUDINAL STUDY ON AGEING (IDS-TILDA)

The Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (IDS-TILDA) is the most comprehensive study on ageing in persons with intellectual disability ever carried out in Ireland (McCarron et al., 2011). IDS-TILDA provides data on the health, social, economic and environmental circumstances of 753 people with intellectual disability, as they grow older and on how their circumstances have changed over a ten-year period. Close harmonisation with the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (TILDA study) ensures that similarities and differences between the populations may be systematically established, including for the national indicators. With three waves of data currently available, IDS-TILDA has become a rich data source for the study of the complex interrelationship between pre-existing impairment, physical, psychosocial and environmental factors, including the impact of living situations and changes in living situations, that may affect healthy ageing in persons with an intellectual disability.
NATIONAL POSITIVE AGEING INDICATORS FOR PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY

Figure 1: National positive ageing indicators for people with an intellectual disability

**PARTICIPATION**
- Employment rate
- Ever in paid employment*
- Day programmes*
- Formal education
- Informal education
- Self-rated health
- Chronic disease
- Multiple chronic conditions
- Falls
- Fear of falling*
- Slow walking speed
- Pain
- Oral health*
- Bone health*
- Sensory impairment*
- Incontinence*
- Difficulty using money*
- Political activities
- Volunteering
- Social activities
- Loneliness
- Social support
- Part of community*
- Contact with family*
- Having a confidant*
- Barriers to participation*
- Public and private transport
- Choice day-to-day*
- Choice life decisions*
- Advocacy service*

**HEALTHY AGEING**
- Self-rated health
- Chronic disease
- Multiple chronic conditions
- Falls
- Fear of falling*
- Slow walking speed
- Pain
- Oral health*
- Bone health*
- Sensory impairment*
- Incontinence*
- Constipation*
- Cognitive impairment*
- Memory screening
- ADLs
- Help with ADLs*
- Smoking
- Drinking alcohol*
- Physical activity
- Weight
- Life satisfaction
- Anxiety
- Emotional, nervous or psychiatric condition*

**SECURITY**
- Shortage of money
- Key to own home*
- Number of people in house*

**CROSS-CUTTING OBJECTIVES**
- Good things about getting older*
- Use of internet
- Mobile phone use*
- Access to and use of computer*

*Additional indicators for people with an intellectual disability
SECTION 2: DEVELOPMENT

DEVELOPMENT OF NATIONAL POSITIVE AGEING INDICATORS FOR PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY

2.1 APPROACH

A systematic approach was undertaken to determine what additional indicators would be necessary in order to capture the important aspects of ageing for people with an intellectual disability. During that development process, there was a high level of agreement that certain important aspects related to ageing of people with an intellectual disability had not been included in the general indicator list, and that the ageing of people with an intellectual disability needed to be better represented.

The Delphi process for developing the National Positive Ageing Indicators for people with an intellectual disability closely resembled the process used in the general population.

Figure 2: Delphi process for reaching consensus

In addition, of great importance was the representation of the voices of people with an intellectual disability and, thus, consultation groups were added to promote greater inclusion, within the evaluation process.

2.2 EXPERT PANEL SELECTION

An invitation to participate was sent to individuals with an intellectual disability, family members and carers of people with an intellectual disability, researchers and academics working in the field of intellectual disability, intellectual disability service providers, advocacy services and Government departments. In Round One, 109 participants completed the online Delphi process, with 92 completing Round Two and 79 people completing Round Three. In addition to the online Delphi survey, 49 individuals with an intellectual disability took part in consultation groups which took place in tandem with the three rounds (see Table 1).

Table 1: Participation in the Delphi process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>People with an Intellectual Disability</th>
<th>49 people took part in consultation groups across the three rounds of the process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic / Research</td>
<td>ROUND 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Providers</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Sector / Advocacy</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family / Carer</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3 EXPLORATION

The screening tool developed by the Healthy and Positive Ageing Initiative (HaPAI) team was used to review all existing (from the national indicators for the general population) and potential (from the literature) indicators prior to consideration in the Delphi process. Each domain was reviewed by a researcher with expertise in that specific domain using this tool. In total, 93 initial indicators were included in the long list to be reviewed. These indicators were chosen based on relevant literature on ageing and intellectual disability, and on research studies linking the indicator to ageing outcomes.

The screening identified indicators that:

• were needed and useful
• had technical merit
• were feasible items on which to collect data

After screening, 55 of the original 93 indicators remained and were utilised in the Delphi process.

2.4 EVALUATION

The online Delphi process modelled the approach used to develop the national indicators in the general population. An online survey process incorporated evaluation, re-evaluation and final consensus steps. Participants were asked to rate the indicators in terms of importance and ease of understanding and then were asked to also rank the indicators. Finally, participants indicated whether they thought the indicator set was balanced and coherent.

The evaluation criteria for each round is shown in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Delphi process evaluation criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EVALUATION CRITERIA</th>
<th>DELPHI ROUNDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROUND 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importance to positive ageing</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy to understand</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranking</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicators present a complete picture of the pillar</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicators present a complete picture of the domain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-ended feedback</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreement with set of key indicators</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5 CONSULTATION WITH PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY

Consultation groups were held with people with an intellectual disability, where members of the groups voted on the importance of the indicators. Prior to the consultation groups, an accessible information booklet was developed which explained the purpose of the consultation group, what was meant by an indicator, what we would be doing in the consultation group and what will happen after the indicators are chosen. Overall, 49 people with an intellectual disability took part in consultation groups which were held in Dublin, Kerry, Tipperary, Mayo and Louth. The consultation groups used accessible versions of the list of indicators using easy read language and pictures.

At the beginning of the consultation groups, the facilitators explained again the purpose of the meeting...
and invited members to ask questions or any points that needed to be clarified. The indicators with accessible pictures were printed out, and then separated by pillar. The facilitator went through each indicator in the pillar and clarified what the indicator was, if needed. After each indicator, members of the consultation groups discussed the indicator and were given stickers with which to rate each indicator in order of importance. After each pillar, there was an open-ended discussion where people could recommend additional indicators, not yet included in the list, that they felt were important.

2.6 CONSENSUS (DELPHI AND CONSULTATION GROUPS)

Consensus was measured using level of agreement, where consensus was reached at 75%. This was the same measurement as was used for the development of the National Positive Ageing Indicators in the general population. In the Delphi groups where participants were asked to respond using a Likert scale from 1-5, the threshold was 2 or higher, with an interquartile range (IQR) of ≥2. Ranking of indicators was also used, where there were more than two indicators in any section. Participants were asked to rank these in order of importance. We used the information on ranking of the indicators from both Delphi and consultation groups to help us to determine which indicators would remain and which should be removed. If an indicator was consistently ranked as of low importance by all participants and both sets of groups, it was excluded from the list.

At the end of each section, participants were asked if they had any other thoughts on that section, or if there were other indicators that they thought should be included. Every response was analysed and grouped into:

1. Suggestion for new indicator
2. Suggested change of indicator, or moving of indicator to different section
3. Rewording on indicator
4. General themes and concepts of ageing and intellectual disability

Throughout the rounds of the online consensus process and the consultation groups, indicators that were consistently ranked as being of low importance by the majority of people across all groups were then excluded. Twelve indicators were removed following Round One, and nine were removed following Round Two. In addition, eleven indicators from Round One were added for consideration in Round Two based on open-ended feedback. In addition, 13 indicators were changed or re-worded based on open-ended feedback. The result was that 33 Positive Ageing Indicators were added for people with an intellectual disability.

2.7 OUTCOMES OF THE CONSULTATION AND DELPHI PROCESSES

Table 3 below shows the results of the final consultation group with people with an intellectual disability, where participants were asked whether the indicators in each pillar gave a complete picture of that pillar. The same process was used for this as was used in the previous consultation groups, where participants were given stickers to indicate level of agreement from zero to five stickers.

Table 3: Rating of Completeness by final consultation group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pillar</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Ageing</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>77.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-Cutting Objectives</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>82.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 below shows the results of the consensus across the three rounds of the online survey. By Round Three, there was 88% agreement that the indicators in the Participation pillar presented a complete picture, 89% for the Healthy Ageing pillar, 87% for the Security pillar and 83% for Cross-Cutting Objectives. The breakdown of consensus for individual domains for Round Three is also shown.

Table 4: Online Delphi consensus results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ROUND 1</th>
<th>ROUND 2</th>
<th>ROUND 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PARTICIPATION</strong></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment and Retirement</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and Lifelong Learning</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Citizenship and Volunteering</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social and Cultural Participation</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choice</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HEALTHY AGEING</strong></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Health</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain Health</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptation to Illness</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Behaviours</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Care</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SECURITY</strong></td>
<td>76</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Security</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CROSS-CUTTING OBJECTIVES</strong></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitudes towards Ageing</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.8 INDICATORS FROM THE GENERAL POPULATION NOT REPORTED FOR PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY

The list of indicators below were reported for the general population but are not reported here as published data was not available. Future reports may include these indicators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARTICIPATION</th>
<th>Percentage of people aged 50+ who provide care to children and/or grandchildren</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEALTHY AGEING</td>
<td>Healthy life expectancy at age 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people aged 50+ whose ability to work or attend further education is reduced by disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people aged 50+ who feel that they have control over their lives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people aged 50+ who experienced difficulty when seeing a doctor in the past twelve months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Place of death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECURITY</td>
<td>Consistent poverty rate among adults aged 65+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people aged 50+ who have housing facility problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people aged 50+ who have housing condition problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of households with an adult aged 65+ who are unable to keep their house adequately warm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people aged 50+ who experience difficulty accessing essential services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people aged 50+ who experience difficulty accessing social facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people aged 50+ who experience difficulty accessing recreational or green spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people aged 50+ who report high levels of neighbourhood social capital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of safeguarding concerns with reasonable grounds for adults aged 65+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CROSS-CUTTING OBJECTIVES</td>
<td>Percentage aged 50+ who reported that they felt discriminated against because of their age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people aged 50+ who perceive ageing as a time of personal growth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION 3: RESULTS

The report will detail results from each of the pillars – Participation, Healthy Ageing, Security and Cross-Cutting Objectives.

The results are set out in five sections.

SECTION 3.1: DEMOGRAPHICS
This section provides information on the population of people with an intellectual disability from the Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (IDS-TILDA) study.

SECTION 3.2: PARTICIPATION
This section provides information on the participation of older people with an intellectual disability in society through employment, education and lifelong learning, active citizenship and volunteering, and on their participation in the social and cultural activities of their communities. It also includes data on transport as a facilitator or barrier to greater participation. Another domain ‘Choice’ was added for people with an intellectual disability.

SECTION 3.3: HEALTHY AGEING
This section provides information on healthy ageing, including the domains of physical health and brain health, adaptation to disability and illness, health behaviours, mental health, healthcare and social care.

SECTION 3.4: SECURITY
This section provides information on housing for people with an intellectual disability and on financial security.

SECTION 3.5: CROSS-CUTTING OBJECTIVES
This section covers the two issues identified in the National Positive Ageing Strategy as having relevance for the achievement of objectives under each of the three pillars of Participation, Health and Security. They include information on attitudes towards ageing and technology.
DATA SOURCES

Data for this report on people with an intellectual disability were taken from the IDS-TILDA study (McCarron et al., 2011, 2014, 2017) and the National Intellectual Disability Database (2017). IDS-TILDA is a longitudinal study that began in 2009 and currently has three waves of data. This means that the same people were interviewed three times over a ten-year period. When the study began, its participants with an intellectual disability were aged 40 and above.

For the indicators used in the general population, information was included from the general population National Positive Ageing Indicators Report 2018. Data from the general population came from The Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (TILDA), European Quality of Life Survey (EQLS), Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), Programme for the International Assessment of Adult Competencies (PIACC), Quarterly National Household Survey (QNHS) and European Labour Force Survey (EU-LFS).

PRESENTATION OF THE INDICATORS

Throughout the report, indicators are reported as percentages (%), meaning the proportion of people of a specific age with a specific characteristic. Confidence intervals (CI : 95%) are used in graphs and tables. A confidence interval is a range of values within which you can be 95% certain the true mean of the population lies.

DISAGGREGATION OF RESULTS AND AGE THRESHOLDS

Throughout the report, results are presented to show differences between age groups, level of intellectual disability, differences in residential settings and gender differences. Data for people with an intellectual disability is for those aged 40 and over. Age is broken into groups of 40-49, 50-64 and 65+. When data is reported for the general population, it is reported for those aged 50 and over.
SECTION 3.1 DEMOGRAPHICS
Table 5 below shows the demographics of the sample from IDS-TILDA across the three waves. In Wave 1, 753 people with an intellectual disability took part in IDS-TILDA, 701 in Wave 2 and 609 in Wave 3. The number of people in the 40-49 age category decreased over the three waves, as people aged into the 50-64 age bracket.

Overall, 56% of the sample were men and 44% were women. By Wave 3, 63% were in the 50-64 age range; 26% were over 65+ and 12% were under 50. The highest proportion had a moderate level of intellectual disability (46%), with 29% having a severe/profound level of intellectual disability and 25% a mild level of intellectual disability.

Table 5: Demographic breakdown of sample of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>CI (95%)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>CI (95%)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>CI (95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AGE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>(34.8-41.8)</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>(25.0-31.7)</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>(9.5-14.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-64</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>(42.0-49.1)</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>(46.9-54.3)</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>(58.7-66.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>(13.7-19.0)</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>(18.3-24.3)</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>(2.3-29.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GENDER</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>(41.0-48.1)</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>(44.1-49.5)</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>(40.3-48.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>(51.9-59.0)</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>(52.3-58.7)</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>(51.9-59.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LEVEL OF ID</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>(20.9-27.2)</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>(20.7-27.2)</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>(21.4-28.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>(42.8-50.2)</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>(42.7-50.4)</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>(42.1-50.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe/Profound</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>(26.4-33.1)</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>(26.3-33.3)</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>(25.5-32.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TYPE OF RESIDENCE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent/Family</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>(14.6-20.0)</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>(13.7-19.2)</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>(12.9-18.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Group Home</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>(32.3-39.1)</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>(39.9-47.2)</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>(36.6-44.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>(43.7-50.8)</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>(36.7-43.9)</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>(40.1-48.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N=</strong></td>
<td>753</td>
<td></td>
<td>701</td>
<td></td>
<td>609</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Interval (95%)
SECTION 3.2 PARTICIPATION
**SECTION 3.2: PARTICIPATION**

Remove barriers to participation and provide more opportunities for the continued involvement of people as they age in all aspects of cultural, economic and social life in their communities according to their needs, preferences and capacities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOMAIN</th>
<th>KEY INDICATOR</th>
<th>% ID</th>
<th>GENERAL POPULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>EMPLOYMENT AND RETIREMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employment rate in the population aged 50-64</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who were ever in paid employed</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who are engaged in day programmes</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>EDUCATION AND LIFELONG LEARNING</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Level of education for people with an intellectual disability aged 40+</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Participation rate in formal education and training among people with an intellectual disability aged 40+</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Participation rate in informal education and training among people with an intellectual disability aged 40+</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ with low literacy</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>61*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ with low numeracy</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>64*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who have difficulty using money</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOMAIN</td>
<td>KEY INDICATOR</td>
<td>% ID</td>
<td>AGE 40+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACTIVE CITIZENSHIP AND VOLUNTEERING</strong></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who engaged in political activities in the past twelve months</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who did unpaid voluntary work in the past twelve months</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who provide care to a relative</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOCIAL AND CULTURAL PARTICIPATION</strong></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who engage in one or more social leisure activity at least once a week</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average self-reported loneliness among people with an intellectual disability aged 40+</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who have someone they can confide in</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>92***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who participated in social or cultural activities in the past twelve months</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who feel part of their community</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who have contact with family on a weekly basis</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who report barriers to participation</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRANSPORT</strong></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who drive</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>72*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who rate public transport in their area as good or excellent</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>49*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who rate private transport in their area as good or excellent</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>59*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who report lack of access to transport has affected social participation</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SECTION 3.2: PARTICIPATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOMAIN</th>
<th>KEY INDICATOR</th>
<th>% ID</th>
<th>GENERAL POPULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHOICE</td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ with choice in day-to-day activities</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ with choice in major life decisions</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ with access to an advocacy service</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reported in the 2016 National Positive Ageing Indicators Report
** Indicator for the general population specified providing care to an older relative
*** Indicator for the general population reported on percentage of people aged 50+ with a supportive relative or friend

**Note:** Generally n/a indicates that comparable data was not included in the National Positive Ageing Indicators Report as this is an additional indicator for people with an intellectual disability.
**PARTICIPATION: EMPLOYMENT AND RETIREMENT**

7% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ were in open paid employment

In addition to the monetary gain, employment has been associated with numerous benefits, including higher self-esteem, confidence, social inclusion (Carew et al., 2010) and physical and mental health (Butterworth et al., 2011). Underemployment has been identified as a critical issue for people with an intellectual disability (McGlinchey et al., 2013). In order to address this issue, there needs to be a shift in government policies, workplace practices and vocational training (Lysaght et al., 2012).

This indicator shows the employment rate for people with an intellectual disability aged 40+. Employment is defined as ‘in paid employment’. Data is also given on the percentage of those in sheltered employment and those who were attending a day service and perceived this as being in employment; this category is named ‘perceived employment’.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

**INdicator:** Employment Rate in the Population of People with an Intellectual Disability Aged 40-64

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-64</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3:** Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ in employment, by gender and age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2011). CI: Confidence interval (95%).

**Key points**

- Employment rates in real paid employment were low across men and women at 7%
- 12% of people reported being in sheltered employment
- 7% were attending a day service and perceived this as employment
- Overall, 80% of people were attending a day service
- It was reported that 38% were unable to work due to having a disability
- Over half of those in paid employment did not know how much they received on a weekly/monthly basis

**EMPLOYMENT IN THE GENERAL POPULATION**

In 2017, the annual employment rate for people aged 50-64 was 64%

Source: EU – LFS 2015-2017
PARTICIPATION: EMPLOYMENT AND RETIREMENT

17% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have been in paid employment at some point

The Comprehensive Employment Strategy for People with Disabilities (2015-2024) sets out a ten-year approach to ensure that people with disabilities who are able to and want to work are supported and enabled to do so. The strategy focuses not only on those who are ‘job-ready’ but also on those who, given the right supports, can work. Ensuring that people with an intellectual disability are supported to gain employment is a crucial issue.

This indicator shows the percentage of people with an intellectual disability who were ever in paid employment.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points

- 37% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability reported having been in paid work
- 20% of people with an intellectual disability who were living independently or with family had experience of paid work. This was also the case for people living in the community (20%), compared to 13% for people living in a residential setting.
Day programmes are essentially a day support and therapeutic service programme designed to meet the needs of people based on implementation of individual plans. The programmes range in skills and activities such as independent living skills, personal development, education classes, and social and leisure activities. The New Directions Report (2012) proposed a new approach to day service provision in Ireland, with a core focus on person-centeredness, community inclusion, active citizenship and quality (HSE, 2016).

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

**Figure 5:**
Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who are engaged in day programmes, by waves of IDS-TILDA

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

**Key points**

- Participation in day programmes were high across the three waves of IDS-TILDA
- 96% of respondents said that the day activity they described was what they wanted to do
- 50% reported that they had not spent any full days at home in the previous week, i.e. had been outside every day. 67% spent at most one entire day at home
- 16% reported that they had spent most of the week, i.e. four days or more, at home all day. Of those who spent most of the week at home, the most common reason was ‘personal choice’. This was followed by ‘staff/resource issues’
32% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ had never received any education

**INDICATOR:**
**Level of Education for People with an Intellectual Disability Aged 40+**

Level of education for people aged 40+ with an intellectual disability has a strong link with employment (Moni et al., 2018). A clear link has also been found between education levels, spending power, health and wellbeing (Lochner, 2011; Grundy & Holt, 2001).

This indicator examined the level of education of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ by the response to the questions ‘Have you attended education?’ and ‘Type of education’.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

Figure 6:
Level of education of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+

CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

**Key points**
- Over half of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ had attended primary school only
- Almost one-third had never had any education
- Level of intellectual disability influenced highest level of education:
  - 5% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability had no education
  - 25% of people with a moderate level of intellectual disability had no education
  - 71% of people with a severe/profound level of intellectual disability had no education
PARTICIPATION: EDUCATION AND LIFELONG LEARNING

2% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ are engaged in formal training. 12% are engaged in informal education

Engagement in education and training has been shown to have many benefits including better attention to good health practices, health service access and usage (WHO 2008). Completion of an education course can also enhance quality of life and coping skills. It also increases social participation and connectedness (Simone & Sciuli, 2006).

This indicator shows the percentage of people with an intellectual disability who engaged in courses, education or training in the previous year.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

INDICATOR: PARTICIPATION RATE IN FORMAL AND INFORMAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING AMONG PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AGED 40+

Key points

- 12% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ were engaged in informal education or training, with a further 2% engaged in formal education
- On average, people spent three hours per week in a training course
- Of those engaged in training, almost one-third were completing a FETAC/QQI course
- Just over one-quarter were completing a literacy course

Figure 7: Of those attending a formal training course, percentage breakdown of type of training course attended

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2014). CI Confidence Intervals (95%)

Figure 8: Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ engaged in informal training, by age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2014). CI Confidence Intervals (95%)

FORMAL TRAINING IN THE GENERAL POPULATION

9% people aged 25-64 participated in formal education and training in 2017

Source: EU-LFS, Eurostat 2008-2017
PARTICIPATION: EDUCATION AND LIFELONG LEARNING

87% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have literacy problems

The ultimate goal of literacy skills is to function as independently and in as integrated a way as possible (Griffen, 2017). Being literate empowers people to be engaged with society, whether in terms of being an effective consumer, being informed about lifestyle options, being able to make informed choices and increasing independence (Moni, 2018).

This indicator shows the percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ with difficulty reading or writing.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

**Figure 9:**
Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who had difficulty with reading, by gender and age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2014). CI Confidence Intervals (95%)

**Figure 10:**
Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who had difficulty with writing, by gender and age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2014). CI Confidence Intervals (95%)
PARTICIPATION: EDUCATION AND LIFELONG LEARNING

Key points

• 82% of people had difficulty with reading
• 83% of people had difficulty with writing

The tables below give additional information in relation to difficulties with reading and writing.

Table 6: Difficulty with reading and writing among people with an intellectual disability aged 40+

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES %</th>
<th>YES WITH ASSISTANCE %</th>
<th>NO %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I can read my own name</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can identify most letters of the alphabet</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can read easy read material</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can read environment signs, e.g. Stop, Exit</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can read instructions, e.g. on a medicine bottle</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can read newspaper articles</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES %</th>
<th>YES WITH ASSISTANCE %</th>
<th>NO %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I can write most letters of the alphabet</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can write my own name</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can write notes and letters</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can fill out forms</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2014). CI: Confidence Interval (95%).

Key points

• 90% of men reported difficulty with literacy compared to 85% of women
• Difficulty with literacy increased with increasing age and level of intellectual disability. 68% with a mild level of intellectual disability had literacy problems, compared to 90% with a moderate level of intellectual disability and 99% with a severe/profound level of intellectual disability

LITERACY IN THE GENERAL POPULATION

61% of people aged 55-65 have low literacy skills

* This indicator for the general population shows the percentage of adults aged 55-65 who have low literacy. This is based on the percentage of adults who were categorised as being at or below Level 1 in literacy skills proficiency (understanding and responding appropriately to written texts) following a comprehensive literacy skills test (PIAAC, OECD)

Source: PIAAC 2012
81% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have difficulty with numeracy

Numeracy involves using mathematics to meet the general demands of life at home, in paid work, and for participation in community and civic life (AAMT 1997). Low numeracy can have an impact on employment opportunities, earning and social and political engagement (IAAPC, 2015). Low numeracy has been identified as a barrier to social inclusion for people with an intellectual disability (Abbot & McConkey, 2006).

This indicator shows the percentage of people with an intellectual disability who report difficulty with numeracy.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points
- Over four-out-of-five people with an intellectual disability had difficulty with numeracy
- Difficulty with numeracy increased with age but was high across all age groups
- 57% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability had difficulty with numeracy
- 84% of people with a moderate level of intellectual disability had difficulty with numeracy

Figure 11: Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who had difficulty with numeracy, by gender and age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2014). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)
Table 7: Difficulty with numeracy among people with an intellectual disability aged 40+

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>YES, WITH ASSISTANCE</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can recognise numbers</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>64.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can locate numbers on a phone</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I understand more-less relationships</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>87.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can do simple sums</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can tell the time on a clock</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>80.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2014). CI: Confidence Interval (95%).

NUMERACY IN THE GENERAL POPULATION

63% of people aged 55-65 have low numeracy skills

* This indicator focuses on the percentage of adults aged 50+ who have low numeracy. This is based on the percentage of adults who were categorised as being at or below Level 1 in numeracy skills proficiency (numerical and mathematical concepts) following a comprehensive numeracy skills test (PIAAC, OECD)

Source: PIAAC 2012
79% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have difficulty with using money

The UN Convention of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD, 2006) aims to increase the equity between people with and without disabilities. In order to achieve this, there needs to be more opportunities for people with an intellectual disability to make their own decisions and for self-determination. Being able to use money supports autonomy and independence.

This indicator shows the percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ with difficulty using money. This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points
- 79% of people with an intellectual disability had difficulty with using money
- Money management was the IADL (Independent Activity of Daily Living) with the highest reported difficulty in each setting, with 49% of those living independently, 78% of those living in community group homes and 95% of those living in residential care reporting significant difficulty with this task
- 77% of people aged 40-49 and 50-64 had difficulty using money. This increased to 85% for those aged 65+

Table 8: Difficulty with using money among people with an intellectual disability aged 40+

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>YES, WITH ASSISTANCE</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can identify €5, €10, €20 notes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>77.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can identify 1c, 2c, 5c, 10c, 20c, 50c coins</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>79.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can arrange notes in order</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>87.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can arrange coins in order</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I understand more or less if I should be due change</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>89.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2014). CI: Confidence Interval (95%).
0.7% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ engaged in political activity. 29% of people with an intellectual disability reported voting in a recent election in 2017

**INDICATOR:**
PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AGED 40+ WHO ENGAGED IN POLITICAL ACTIVITIES IN THE PAST TWELVE MONTHS

The UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities was ratified in Ireland in 2018. This covers civil and political rights to equal treatment and freedom from discrimination. This indicator shows the percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who engaged in any of the following political activities in the past twelve months: attended a meeting of a trade union, political, party or political action; attended a protest or demonstration; signed a petition; or contacted a political or public official.

Data is also shown below on the percentage of people who voted in the last election.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

**Figure 13:**
Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who voted in an election in 2011, 2014, 2017

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI Confidence Intervals (95%)

**Key points**
- Only 0.7% of people with an intellectual disability engaged in political activities
- The percentage of people who voted in an election remained steady over the three waves of data collection
- There was no significant difference between the age groups in terms of voting
- Over half (52%) of people with a mild level of intellectual disability voted in the last election
- This compared to 27% with a moderate level of intellectual disability
- 8 individuals (5%) with a severe/profound level of intellectual disability voted in the last election

**POLITICAL ACTIVITY IN THE GENERAL POPULATION**

24% of people aged 50+ engaged in political activities in the past twelve months

*This indicator focuses on the percentage of people aged 50+ who engaged in any of the political activities in the past twelve months

Source: EQLS Fourth Round 2016
10% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ volunteered in the past twelve months

Voluntary work has long been established as beneficial for life satisfaction and mental wellbeing. Voluntary work has been found to contribute to active ageing, to increased self-rated health and to social inclusion (Bechetti at al., 2018).

Research on volunteering with a population with an intellectual disability found that volunteering increased social networks, developed knowledge and skills and increased quality of life (Trembath et al., 2010). An added benefit found was that it gave people the opportunity to be providers, rather than recipients, of community services (Trembath et al., 2010).

This indicator shows the percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who volunteered in the previous twelve months.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

**Key points**
- 16% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability did unpaid voluntary work
- Of those who did unpaid voluntary work, 55% volunteered once a week or more
- The most common reasons given for volunteering included: enjoyment (60%); contributing something useful (55%); meeting other people (43%); because they needed to do it (30%); and a sense of achievement (27%)

**Figure 14:** Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who volunteered in the past twelve months, by gender and age

CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

**VOLUNTEERING IN THE GENERAL POPULATION**

41% of people aged 50+ volunteered in the past twelve months

Source: EQLS Fourth Round 2016
12% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ support a relative

**INDICATOR:** Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who provide care to a relative

In the general population, family members often provide unpaid support to a spouse, parent or relative due to chronic illness or disability. A discussion paper from Care Alliance Ireland showed that people with an intellectual disability who are living at home are providing care to their parent (Care Alliance Ireland, 2015). This indicator shows the percentage of people with an intellectual disability who provide support to a relative.

For the general population, the indicator shows data on care provided for an older or disabled relative in the previous month. The data for people with an intellectual disability does not include a timeframe.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

**Key points**
- Men provided more support for a relative across all age groups than women
- The percentage of people giving support to a relative dropped between Wave 2 and Wave 3 from 18% to 12%
- 23% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability supported a family member compared to 10% of people with a moderate level of intellectual disability
- 54% gave day-to-day support. 27% gave emotional support. 25% gave help with shopping

**Figure 15:** Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who provided support to a relative, by gender and age

**Figure 16:** Family members to whom people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ provide support

**Supporting a relative in the general population**

10% of people in the general population support a relative or friend

Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017
SECTION 3.2: PARTICIPATION

PARTICIPATION: SOCIAL AND CULTURAL PARTICIPATION

96% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ engage in one or more social leisure activity at least once a week

Participation in community activities promotes friendship, skills and a sense of belonging (Merrells et al., 2017). Leisure activity refers to the ‘freedom to do what one wants’ (Retish & Reiter, 1999). The free choice of the individual is, therefore, essential for meaningful inclusion in a social or leisure activity (Merrells et al., 2017).

This indicator shows the percentage of people with an intellectual disability who engaged in one or more leisure activity at least once a week. Data is also shown for the type of activity in which people engaged.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

96% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ engage in one or more social leisure activity at least once a week

Figure 17:
Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who engage in one or more social leisure activity at least once a week, by gender and age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

Key points
- Both men and women were highly engaged in social activities at least once a week
- 99% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability were engaged in one or more social activity at least once a week. 92% of people with a severe/profound level of intellectual disability were engaged in one or more social activity at least once a week
Key points

- Rates for all but one activity increased from 2011 to 2017
- The most common activities were eating out, going out for coffee and going shopping

82% of people aged 56+ engage in at least one social leisure activity on a weekly basis

Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017
While social isolation is an objective phenomenon, loneliness is a subjective lack of social connectedness and can occur both in the presence or in the absence of social isolation (McHugh et al., 2018). Loneliness has a negative effect on physical, mental and cognitive wellbeing (Conroy et al., 2010). Up until recently, there has been little research into the causes, experiences and effects of loneliness in people with an intellectual disability (Wormald, 2018).

This indicator focuses on self-reported loneliness among people with an intellectual disability aged 40+.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

8% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ often feel lonely

**INDICATOR:** AVERAGE SELF-REPORTED LONELINESS AMONG PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AGED 40+

Figure 19: Change in percentage who report feeling lonely most of the time from 2011-2017

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

Key points
- 26% of people were lonely in both Wave 1 and Wave 2
- 42% were never lonely

**LONELINESS IN THE GENERAL POPULATION**

5% of people aged 56+ often feel lonely

*This was based on a five-item version of the UCLA Loneliness Scale

Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017
96% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ said that they have someone to confide in

Social connectedness is an important part of healthy ageing. Previous studies have found that people living independently or in the community were more likely to have someone to confide in, compared to those living in a residential setting. Having parents or siblings as a confidant was associated with age, with their availability as confidants reducing as the person with an intellectual disability grew older (McCausland, 2016).

This indicator measures the percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who could self-report, who have someone to confide in. In the general population, this indicator reports on the percentage of people with at least one supportive relative or friend.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

**Key points**
- 79% of people who had a confidant reported a keyworker as their confidant
- Siblings (31%) and friends (24%) were also important confidants
- The number of people reporting keyworkers as confidants increased from Wave 1 to Wave 3
- The number of people reporting friends as confidants more than doubled between Wave 1 (11%) and Wave 3 (23%)
- Fewer people reported parents as confidants in Wave 3 than in Wave 1

**Keyworker/staff**

**Sibling**

**Friend**

**Parent**

**Spouse/Partner**

**Neighbour**

**Cousin**

**Aunt/Uncle**

**Advocate**

**Other**

**Figure 21:**
Identity of confidant for people with an intellectual disability aged 40+

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

**Supportive friend in the general population**

92% of people aged 56+ have at least one supportive relative or friend

Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017
While more research is needed into the community participation of people with an intellectual disability, particularly due to policies on de-congregation, it has been found that people living in the community participate more than those in congregated settings do. Participation, however, is lower for those with an intellectual disability than for the general population or other disability groups (Verdonschot et al., 2009).

This indicator shows data on how many people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who could self-report feel part of their community. Participants were asked to name their community and then were asked whether they felt part of that community.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

**Figure 22:** Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who felt part of their community, by level of felt involvement

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

**Figure 23:** Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who felt part of their community, by age and level of felt involvement

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)
Key points

- 21% did not feel part of their community at all
- 78% of people aged 40-49 felt part of their community a little or a lot. This was slightly higher than for those aged 65+ (72%)
- 89% of people with mild level of intellectual disability felt part of their community compared to 68% with severe/profound level of intellectual disability

Table 9: Level of felt involvement by people with an intellectual disability aged 40+

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Intellectual Disability</th>
<th>A LITTLE</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>A LOT</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>NOT AT ALL</th>
<th>CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>25.9-41</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>47.8-64.3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>6.2-16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>29.9-41.7</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>36.8-49.1</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>16.8-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe/Profound</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>33-48.4</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>21-35</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>25.1-39.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Interval (95%).
61% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have contact with non-resident family or friends on a weekly basis

In the general population, quality of life is highest for those who were most integrated into social networks and lowest for those who are most isolated (Nolan et al., 2014). In Ireland, community-dwelling adults with an intellectual disability have greater social networks than those living in an institutional setting. Overall levels of social connectedness, however, are lower for people with an intellectual disability than is found for the general population (McCausland et al., 2016).

This indicator shows the frequency of contact people have with family or friends. This question is asked in relation to non-resident friends. People are asked about frequency of face-to-face contact, telephone contact and written contact.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points

- 78% of people had weekly contact with non-resident friends
- 43% of people had weekly contact with non-resident family
- The majority of people had infrequent (less than monthly) contact with family
- Younger males, with a mild level of intellectual disability, living independently or in the community, had the most frequent family contact
- People had the highest rates of frequent contact with their mother and father. Of concern for older people with an intellectual disability for the future is that they will lose the people with whom they have the most frequent contact
- People aged 65+ reported lower levels of frequent contact with friends compared to younger people
- There was a steady decline in very frequent contact as level of intellectual disability became more severe, with a difference of 15% between respondents with a mild level of intellectual disability and those with a severe/profound level of intellectual disability
- 79% of people did not live in the same neighbourhood as their family, rising to 86% for those with a severe/profound level of intellectual disability
PARTICIPATION: SOCIAL AND CULTURAL PARTICIPATION

56% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have difficulty participating in social activities

Social inclusion has been broadly defined as greater participation in community-based activities and wider social networks. Through a series of focus groups with people with an intellectual disability, it was found that four main barriers to social participation were identified: lack of knowledge and skills, role of support staff, location of house, and lack of facilities (Abbott & McConkey, 2002).

This indicator shows the number of participants who report difficulty participating in social activities outside the home. Participants were asked what specific barriers there were to participation.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

Figure 25: Barriers to participation experienced by people with an intellectual disability aged 40+, by type of barrier

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

Key points
- Over half of people reported barriers to social participation
- The most common barrier to social participation was needing someone’s assistance in order to participate (38%)
- Over one-in-four people said that health considerations were a barrier to participation
- 38% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability experienced barriers to participation
- 73% of people with a severe/profound level of intellectual disability experienced barriers to participation
- There was little difference between the younger age group (63%) and the older age group (65%) in terms of barriers to participation experienced
70% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ rate public transport in their area as ‘good’ or ‘excellent’, and 91% rate their private transport as ‘good’ or ‘excellent’

Key points
- The majority of people across all age groups rated both public and private transport as ‘excellent’, ‘very good’ or ‘good’
- Private transport was rated higher than public transport
- 42% of people use public transport

Figure 26: Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who rated their public transport and private transport as good or excellent, by age and type of transport

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2014). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)
For many people, making choices is an everyday occurrence. For people with an intellectual disability, however, these choices can often be restricted or absent (O’Donovan et al., 2017). While lack of choice-making skills in early life may affect ability for choice-making later in life (Smyth & Bell, 2006), it has been found that adults with an intellectual disability are able to learn choice-making skills (Heller et al., 2000).

This indicator focuses on the level of choice that people with an intellectual disability have in day-to-day decisions, such as: how he/she decorates their room; what time he/she goes to bed; where he/she goes in their free time; who he/she spends time with; what clothes he/she wears; what food he/she eats.

Response options were: ‘Self’, ‘Supported Choice’, ‘Someone Else Chooses’ or ‘No Choice’.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

Figure 27: Level of choice in day-to-day decisions for people with an intellectual disability aged 40+, by type of choice

Key points
- Level of self-choice in day-to-day decisions ranged from 24% to 81% depending on the decision
- For nearly all day-to-day choices, nine-out-of-ten people with an intellectual disability were involved in the decision-making process
- Among the day-to-day decisions, people with an intellectual disability had the most choice regarding what time they went to bed

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)
While choice has been identified in terms of health, quality of life, empowerment and self-determination (Smyth & Bell, 2006), these positive outcomes are only seen if the choice is ‘real’ or ‘active’. Following a study on choice with people with an intellectual disability, type of choice was separated into ‘day-to-day choices’ and ‘key life choices’ (O’Donovan, 2017).

For this indicator, key life decisions included: where he/she keeps their money, what support he/she may receive, who he/she lives with; where he/she lives; what job he/she has; how he/she spends their money.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

**INDICATOR:**
**PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AGED 40+ WITH CHOICE IN KEY LIFE DECISIONS**

15% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ were involved in key life decisions

**Key points**
- 21% of people with an intellectual disability had no choice of where they live
- 32% of people with an intellectual disability were involved in choosing who they live with
- 41% were involved in what support they receive
**PARTICIPATION: CHOICE**

72% of people with an intellectual disability had access to a professional advocacy service

Ensuring that people with an intellectual disability have the support to have their voice heard is an essential part of healthy ageing. Historically, people with an intellectual disability have been marginalised, and it is only in more recent times that the personhood of people with an intellectual disability has been acknowledged and, with it, the right for support and advocacy (Gray & Jackson, 2002).

This indicator shows the percentage of people with an intellectual disability with access to a professional advocacy service.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

---

**INDICATOR:**
**PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AGED 40+ WITH ACCESS TO AN ADVOCACY GROUP**

72% of people with an intellectual disability had access to a professional advocacy service.

Key points

- 82% of people with a severe/profound level of intellectual disability had access to an advocacy service
- 54% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability and 56% with a moderate level of intellectual disability had an independent advocate
- 94% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ had a key worker
- 51% of people with an intellectual disability had an independent advocate
- 37% had access to both an independent advocate and a professional advocacy service

---

**Figure 29:**
Advocacy type for people with an intellectual disability aged 40+, by level of intellectual disability

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)
SECTION 3.3
HEALTHY AGEING
Support people as they age to maintain, improve or manage their physical and mental health and wellbeing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOMAIN</th>
<th>KEY INDICATORS</th>
<th>% ID</th>
<th>GENERAL POPULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHYSICAL HEALTH</td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ with good (or better) self-rated health</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who have a chronic disease</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ with two or more chronic conditions</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ reporting a fall in the previous year</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24*~</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who have a slow walking speed</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>48*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who report severe or moderate pain most of the time</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ with no teeth or dentures</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ with poor bone health</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ with sensory impairment even with corrective measures</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who experience incontinence where they were previously continent</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ reporting chronic constipation in the previous two years</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOMAIN</td>
<td>KEY INDICATORS</td>
<td>% ID</td>
<td>GENERAL POPULATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AGE 40+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BRAIN HEALTH</strong></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who have had a memory screening in the previous two years</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with Down syndrome aged 40+ who have a doctor’s diagnosis of dementia</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ADAPTATION TO DISABILITY AND ILLNESS</strong></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who have difficulty with activities of daily living</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who report difficulties with activities of daily living, who also receive help for those difficulties</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who limit their activities due to fear of falling</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HEALTH BEHAVIOURS</strong></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who currently smoke</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who use alcohol</td>
<td>62% never drinking alcohol</td>
<td>12**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who are sedentary or underactive</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who are underweight, overweight or obese</td>
<td>43% obese</td>
<td>33% obese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MENTAL HEALTH</strong></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ with depression</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who report high levels of life satisfaction</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ with moderate or severe levels of anxiety</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ with emotional, nervous or psychiatric problems who are receiving treatment from a psychologist or psychiatrist</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOMAIN</td>
<td>KEY INDICATORS</td>
<td>% ID</td>
<td>% GENERAL POPULATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEALTHCARE</td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ living in the community in receipt of home care services in the previous twelve months</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of women eligible for screening who had a mammogram in the past two years</td>
<td>57% of eligible women who have ever had a mammogram</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who have had a flu vaccine in the previous two years</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>62*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who are taking five or more medications</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who have had their cholesterol checked</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who have had their blood pressure checked</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCIAL CARE</td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who report unmet need for a community care service</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Living situation of those people with an intellectual disability aged 40+</td>
<td>41% living independently or with family</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARERS’ HEALTH</td>
<td>Percentage of carers aged 50+ who report high levels of stress or distress</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reported in the general population for those aged 65+
**Indicator in the general population reports on problematic alcohol use
***Reported in the general population for those aged 75+
- Fall reported in the general population for prior two years

Note: Generally n/a indicates that comparable data was not included in the National Positive Ageing Indicators Report as this is an additional indicator for people with an intellectual disability.
86% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ rate their health as ‘good’, ‘very good’ or ‘excellent’

Self-rated health has been related to positive outcomes in morbidity and mortality. It is also commonly used as an indicator of health status (Del Salvo, 2006). It has been previously reported that people with an intellectual disability have lower self-rated health than their peers without an intellectual disability (Emerson et al., 2014).

This indicator shows the percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who rated their health as ‘excellent’, ‘very good’ or ‘good’.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

**Figure 30:** Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who rate their health as good, very good or excellent, by gender and age


CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

**Key points**

- There was very little change across levels of self-rated health between Wave 1 and Wave 3 of IDS-TILDA (86%, 84%, 86% respectively)
- Self-rated health for people with an intellectual disability was comparable to that of the general population

**SELF-RATED HEALTH IN THE GENERAL POPULATION**

80% of people aged 56+ rate their health as ‘good’ or ‘very good’

Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017
83% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have a chronic disease

People with an intellectual disability have a higher prevalence of chronic disease than is found in the general population (Carey et al., 2016; McCarron et al., 2013).

This indicator shows the percentage of people aged 40+ who have a chronic disease that has been diagnosed by a doctor. The following chronic conditions and diseases are included: arthritis; osteoporosis; angina; heart rhythm or murmur; heart attack; heart failure; stroke; transient ischaemic attack; asthma; chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; diabetes; epilepsy; constipation; thyroid disease; stomach ulcer; and cancer.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

**Figure 31:** Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ with a chronic disease, by gender and age


CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

**Figure 32:** Changes in chronic conditions for people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ between Wave 1 and Wave 3 of IDS-TILDA


CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)
Key points

• The prevalence of chronic disease increased from 81% in those aged 40-49 to 86% in those aged 65+
• In the older age group, women with an intellectual disability had a higher prevalence of chronic disease than men
• The prevalence of chronic conditions was higher for those with a severe/profound level of intellectual disability (90%) than for those with a mild level of intellectual disability (77%)
• In Wave 3, chronic constipation was the most common chronic condition
• The prevalence of epilepsy in people with an intellectual disability rose from 30% in Wave 1 to 35% in Wave 3 and was associated with new onset dementia in people with Down syndrome
• In Wave 3, 22% of people had a thyroid disease
• Different patterns of chronic diseases were seen for people with an intellectual disability compared to the general population

CHRONIC DISEASE IN THE GENERAL POPULATION

65% of people aged 65+ have a chronic disease
Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017

INDICATOR:
PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AGED 40+ WITH TWO OR MORE CHRONIC CONDITIONS

• 56% of people with an intellectual disability had two or more chronic conditions
HEALTHY AGEING: PHYSICAL HEALTH

27% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have fallen in the past year

INDICATOR: PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AGED 40+ REPORTING A FALL IN THE PAST YEAR

Falls are a major public health concern, affecting approximately a third of people aged 65+ per year (Stevens et al., 2008). Studies indicate that prevalence of falls is higher for people with an intellectual disability living in the community compared to the general population (Hsieh et al., 2012). Falls are a major cause of injury and hospitalisation, and they have longer term psychosocial effects, which can lead to decreased activity and more isolation (Hsieh et al., 2012).

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

Key points

- Falls remained high at 27%, with 29% of those reporting two or more falls
- Falls increase from 18% in those aged 40-49 to 30% in those aged 65+
- 13% reported injurious falls

Table 10: Falls reported in IDS-TILDA and TILDA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IDS-TILDA WAVE 1</th>
<th>TILDA WAVE 1</th>
<th>IDS-TILDA WAVE 3</th>
<th>TILDA WAVE 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numbers</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>4788</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>4398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Fall (%)</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent Fall (%)</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injurious Fall (%)</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


FALLS IN THE GENERAL POPULATION

24% of people aged 65+ have fallen in the past two years

Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017
81% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have a slow walking speed

Slow walking speed is identified as one of the indicators of frailty (Evenhuis et al., 2012). Frailty is a combination of symptoms and problems that can indicate an increase in vulnerability to stressors and a higher risk of adverse health and wellbeing outcomes, and is independent of any specific disease or disability (Fried et al., 2004).

This indicator shows the percentages of people aged 40+ who have a slow walking speed. A slow walking speed is defined as taking more than ten seconds to complete the following test: get up from a chair, walk three metres at usual pace, turn around and sit back down. This test is known as the ‘Timed Up and Go’ (TUG) test.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points
• The percentage with a slow walking speed gradually increased as age increased
• 94% of people aged 65+ years were classified as ‘slow walkers’
• 82% of women aged 50-64 years were slow walkers compared to 75% of men in the same age range
• 82% of those with a moderate level of intellectual disability had a slow walking speed

Figure 34: Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ with a slow walking speed, by age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2014). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)
42% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ report moderate or severe pain most of the time

**INDICATOR:**
PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AGED 40+ WHO REPORT MODERATE OR SEVERE PAIN MOST OF THE TIME

Chronic pain impacts on quality of life and cognitive function, and it can limit everyday activities (Penny et al., 1999). This indicator shows the percentage of people aged 40+ who were often troubled with pain on a mild, moderate or severe level.

This indicator examines pain by asking people: ‘Are you often troubled with pain?’ and ‘How bad is the pain most of the time?’ on the scale of Mild - Moderate - Severe.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

42% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ report moderate or severe pain most of the time

**Key points**
- As age increased, more people were troubled by pain
- 32% of women and 26% of men in Wave 2 reported having trouble with pain. Women were more likely than men to suffer with severe pain
- 61% of those aged 50–64 years reported having trouble with pain
- 11% of people with a moderate level of intellectual disability reported having trouble with pain

**PAIN IN THE GENERAL POPULATION**

26% of people aged 56+ have moderate or severe pain most of the time

Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017
HEALTHY AGEING: PHYSICAL HEALTH

28% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have no teeth or dentures

**INDICATOR:**
PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AGED 40+ WITH NO TEETH OR DENTURES

Older people with an intellectual disability are more likely to have no teeth compared to their peers in the general population. Furthermore, when people with an intellectual disability lost their teeth, they are unlikely to use dentures (Mac Giolla Phadraig et al., 2015).

This indicator includes people who answered ‘yes’ to having ‘no teeth or dentures’.

This indicator is included in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability only.

Key points

- 19% of those aged 40+ years had no teeth or dentures
- 23% of men had no teeth or denture compared to 16% of women
- The prevalence of those with no teeth or dentures increased with age
- One-in-five of the people with a moderate level of intellectual disability had no teeth or dentures
- Of those with no teeth, 68% of people with an intellectual disability with tooth loss did not receive prosthetic denture compared with 5% of participants without teeth found in Wave 3 of TILDA
74% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have poor bone health

Risk factors associated with poor bone health in the general population are age, gender and corticosteroid use. Risks may differ, however, for people with an intellectual disability. It has been shown that having epilepsy and taking anti-epileptic drugs are associated with having a doctor’s diagnosis of osteoporosis. Levels of diagnostic screening were low for people with an intellectual disability, suggesting that a doctor’s diagnosis of osteoporosis may underestimate prevalence of poor bone health in this population (Burke et al., 2017).

This indicator shows the objective measurement of bone health for people with an intellectual disability aged 40+.

This indicator is included in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability only.

Key points

- Only 8% of people with an intellectual disability have a doctor’s diagnosis of osteoporosis, but when this was objectively measured, using a quantitative heel ultrasound, 41% had osteoporosis and 33% had osteopenia
- 27% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability had osteoporosis compared to 35% with a moderate level of intellectual disability and 63% with a severe/profound level of intellectual disability
- The prevalence of osteoporosis increased with age from 32% of those aged 40-49 years to 40% for people aged 50-64 years to 54% in those aged 65+ years
HEALTHY AGEING: PHYSICAL HEALTH

28% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have a sensory impairment even with corrective measures

The prevalence of sensory impairment is higher among those with intellectual disability compared to those in the general population (Evenhuis et al., 2001).

This indicator shows the percentage of people aged 40+ who show evidence of sensory impairment, including vision and hearing. Participants were asked ‘How good is your hearing/ vision?’ with the responses ‘excellent’, ‘very good’, ‘good’, ‘fair’ or ‘poor’. The indicator shows those who responded ‘fair or poor’.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability only.

Key points

- Vision impairment was more prevalent than hearing impairment, with 21% reporting poor vision, despite wearing glasses or contact lenses. This compared to 10% of people who reported poor hearing despite a hearing device.
- Level of sensory impairment increased with age, from 24% in those aged 40-49 to 28% in those aged 65+.
- 21% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability reported sensory impairment compared to 30% with a moderate or severe/profound level of intellectual disability.
- No gender difference was observed in relation to sensory impairment.

Figure 38:
Sensory impairment among people with an intellectual disability aged 40+, by age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)
38% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ experience incontinence where they had previously been continent

Incontinence is often a problem for people with an intellectual disability and is sometimes associated with pre-existing levels of intellectual disability and with impoverished care environments. In the general population, the development of urinary incontinence with increasing age is often associated with increased social isolation and depression (Nitti, 2001).

This indicator shows the number of people with an intellectual disability who report incontinence where they had previously been continent. People were asked ‘During the last 12 months, have you ever lost urine beyond your control?’ and ‘During the last 12 months, have you ever lost faeces beyond your control?’.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

Figure 39: Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who report urinary or bowel incontinence, by age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

Key points
• Overall, three-in-ten reported bladder incontinence
• 20% reported bowel incontinence
• 32% of those with a mild level of intellectual disability reported some form of incontinence compared to 40% with a moderate level of intellectual disability and 44% with a severe/profound level of intellectual disability
HEALTHY AGEING: PHYSICAL HEALTH

44% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have chronic constipation

**INDICATOR:**
PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AGED 40+ WITH CHRONIC CONSTIPATION IN THE PREVIOUS TWO YEARS

Constipation is a syndrome defined by bowel symptoms of difficult or infrequent passage of stool, hardness of stool or a feeling of incomplete evacuation (Bharucha et al., 2013). In a systematic review of constipation among people with an intellectual disability, it was found that constipation is a significant issue across the life course (Robertson et al., 2018).

This indicator shows the percentage of those with an intellectual disability with a doctor’s diagnosis of constipation.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

- **Key points**
  - The prevalence of constipation rose from 17% in Wave 1 to 44% in Wave 3
  - Levels of constipation were also found to increase with severity of level of intellectual disability from 31% for those with a mild level of intellectual disability, 44% for those with a moderate level of intellectual disability to 58% for those with a severe/profound level of intellectual disability
  - 75% were taking medication to manage the condition and 57% reported that they had made lifestyle changes to address the condition
  - Of those with constipation, 38% reported never having a normal stool without the use of laxatives

**Figure 40:** Percentage of people with constipation in Wave 1 and Wave 3 of IDS-TILDA, by gender and age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing [2017]. CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)
31% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have received a memory screening

An international consensus group developed a model of best practice for the screening of people with an intellectual disability for dementia (Burt & Aylward, 2000). This model of best practice recommends a baseline assessment for adults with Down syndrome by age 35, and for adults with intellectual disability from other aetiologies by age 55, with annual screening thereafter (Aylward et al., 1997).

This baseline screening is essential in order to detect a change from pre-morbid level of functioning. This indicator shows the percentage of people who had a memory screening in the previous two years.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points
- Memory screening overall rose from 15% in Wave 1 to 31% in Wave 3
- The number of people with Down syndrome getting a memory screening rose from 14% in Wave 1 to 61% in Wave 3
35% of people with Down syndrome aged 40+ have a diagnosis of dementia

INDICATOR: 
PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE WITH DOWN SYNDROME WHO HAVE A DIAGNOSIS OF DEMENTIA

Risk of dementia is higher for people with Down syndrome than for people from the general population or for people with an intellectual disability from other aetiologies. A longitudinal study conducted in Ireland over 20 years found the risk of dementia was 23% for those aged 50, 45% at age 55 and 88% at age 65 (McCarron et al., 2017).

The indicators below shows data for people with Down syndrome aged 40+ who had a doctor’s diagnosis of dementia from Wave 1 of IDS-TILDA (2009), Wave 2 (2014) and Wave 3 (2017).

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points
- The percentage of people with Down syndrome with a diagnosis of dementia increased from 16% in Wave 1 to 30% in Wave 2 to 35% in Wave 3 of IDS-TILDA
- The average age of dementia diagnosis for people with Down syndrome was 52.3 years
- Of those with Down syndrome who did not have a doctor’s diagnosis of dementia, 49% had never had a dementia assessment

Figure 42: Percentage of people with an intellectual disability with a diagnosis of dementia, by waves of IDS-TILDA and aetiology of intellectual disability

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)
73% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have difficulty with activities of daily living

**Key points**

- Men in the 65+ age group reported more difficulty with ADLs than women
- Difficulty with ADLs increased with age
- 39% of people living independently or with family reported difficulties with ADLs compared to 66% of people in community group homes and 92% in residential settings
- 49% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability reported some difficulty with ADLs. This increased to 72% for people with a moderate level of intellectual disability

**DIFFICULTY WITH ADLS IN THE GENERAL POPULATION**

8% of people aged 56+ have difficulty with ADLs

Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017
By definition, intellectual disability is characterised by impaired intellectual functioning and adaptive behaviour, and these limitations impinge on everyday conceptual, practical and social skills (AAIDD, 2011). People with an intellectual disability, therefore, have a greater need for support and assistance than their peers in the general population.

The indicator below shows the percentage of people who reported difficulties with activities of daily living and who also received support for this.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

**Table 11:** Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who have difficulty with ADL and who also receive help for that difficulty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADL</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>CI (95%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receives help due to difficulty dressing</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>92.1 – 97.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receives help due to difficulty bathing</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>97.7 – 99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receives help due to difficulty eating</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>54.3 – 66.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receives help due to difficulty toileting</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>85.1 – 94.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receives help due to difficulty getting in and out of bed</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>89.7 – 97.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receives help due to difficulty walking across a room</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>78.2 – 89.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

**Key points**

- The majority of people received help and support with ADLs where help was needed
- Bathing was the activity where the highest proportion of people reported difficulty and also received help (99%)
- 60% of people reported that they received help with eating of those who needed help
31% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ limit their activities due to their fear of falling

Fear of falling has emerged as an important health concern in all older adults given its demonstrated association with restrictions in daily activity and, in many cases, activity avoidance (Foran et al., 2013; Zarkou et al., 2011).

This indicator shows the percentage of people aged 40+ who limit activities due to fear of falling. The indicator is measured using the questions ‘Are you afraid of falling?’ and ‘Do you ever limit your activities due to fear of falling?’.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

Figure 44: Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who limit activities due to a fear of falling, by age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

Key points

• The percentage of people who limited their activity due to fear of falling, at 44%, was higher in those aged 40-49 than in the older age groups, at 29% for those aged 50-64 and 32% for those aged 65+

• 22% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability were afraid of falling and limited their activities. This compared to 32% of people with a moderate level of intellectual disability and 31% of people with a severe/profound level of intellectual disability
7% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ currently smoke

**INDICATOR:**
PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AGED 40+ WHO REPORT CURRENT SMOKING

Tobacco use is reportedly lower in a population of people with an intellectual disability compared to the general population (CDC, 2015). Tobacco use poses a greater threat to people with an intellectual disability as there is already an increased prevalence of chronic conditions known to be associated with tobacco use, including: arthritis, asthma, poor oral health, low bone density, respiratory conditions, diabetes (Eisenbaum et al., 2018).

This indicator shows the percentage of people who report currently smoking.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

**Figure 45:**
Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who currently smoke, by age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2014). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

**Key points**
- A higher percentage of people in the older age group currently smoke
- 11% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability smoke compared to 2% with a severe level of intellectual disability
- Levels of smoking were slightly higher for those living independently or with family (10%) compared to those living in a community (7%) or in a residential setting (7%)

**SMOKING IN THE GENERAL POPULATION**

14% of people aged 56+ currently smoke

Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017
62% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ report never drinking alcohol

In Ireland, levels of alcohol consumption have been found to be lower for people with an intellectual disability than in the general population (McGuire et al., 2007).

This indicator shows how often people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have consumed alcohol in the past year. Response categories include: ‘almost every day’, ‘three-four days a week’, ‘once or twice a week’, ‘once or twice a month’, ‘less than once a month’, ‘not at all in the last 12 months’ or ‘never’. The indicator in the general population indicator set reports on problematic alcohol use. CAGE measure which captures whether a person has ever felt they should cut down on drinking (C), have been annoyed by others criticising their drinking (A), have felt guilty about their drinking (G), or taken a drink first thing in the morning to cure a hangover (eye-opener) (E). A point is assigned for each ‘yes’ answer, with ≥ 2 points indicating problematic alcohol use.

The indicator for people with an intellectual disability reports of level of alcohol use.

**Key points**

- 39% reported drinking alcohol
- 43% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability reported drinking alcohol compared to 42% of people with a moderate level of intellectual disability and 29% of those with a severe/profound level of intellectual disability
- Overall, 14% of people drank alcohol once a week or more
- Of those who drank alcohol, 11% reported drinking more than two drinks in a day once a week or more

**Figure 46:** Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+, by frequency of alcohol consumed

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2014). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

**ALCOHOL USE IN THE GENERAL POPULATION**

12% of people aged 56+ report problematic alcohol use

*The indicator for the general population examined level of problematic alcohol use
Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017
Low levels of physical activity have been found in older adults with an intellectual disability (Temple, Frey, & Stanish, 2006). Increasing physical activity among the ageing population has been shown to have a positive effect both physically and psychologically on health outcomes (Hilgenkamp et al., 2012).

This indicator shows level of physical activity of people aged 40+. The indicator for people with an intellectual disability is measured using the RAPA (Rapid Assessment of Physical Activity) questionnaire and classified as ‘sedentary’, ‘underactive’ and ‘active’. The indicator for the general population is measured with the IPAQ.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

85% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ are underactive

**Table 12:** Level of activity among people with an intellectual disability aged 40+

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVITY LEVEL</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sedentary</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>14.6-20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underactive</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>64.2-71.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>11.6-17.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

**Figure 47:** Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who were sedentary, underactive and active, by gender and age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

39% of people aged 56+ report low levels of physical activity

*This was measured by the IPAQ in the general population

Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017
80% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ are overweight or obese

This indicator shows the percentage of people overweight and obese in the 40+ age group. The levels of overweight and obesity in people with an intellectual disability are recognised as a major health concern by many researchers (Haveman et al., 2011).

This indicator shows the percentage of adults aged 40+ who are underweight, normal or overweight in relation to their BMI score.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points
• Overall, 80% of people with an intellectual disability were overweight (36%) or obese (43%)
• 64% of people with an intellectual disability who were overweight or obese perceived themselves to be just about the right weight
• People aged 50-64 (81%) had higher levels of obesity than those aged 65+ (77%)
• People with a mild or moderate level of intellectual disability had greater levels of obesity at 88% versus 64% for those with a severe/profound level of intellectual disability
• Women had a higher prevalence of overweight and obesity compared to men at 83% versus 76% respectively

WEIGHT IN THE GENERAL POPULATION

33% of people aged 54+ are obese

Source: TILDA Wave 3 2014-2015
10% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have depression

Mental health is one of the most important determinants of good quality of life in older people. Depression is one of the most common of mental health disorders and has a negative impact on quality of life (Moussavi et al., 2007).

This indicator shows the percentage of people aged 40+ with an intellectual disability that suffer from depression. People were asked to complete the Glasgow Depression Scale. The Glasgow Depression Scale for people with a Learning Disability (GDS-LD) was devised by Cuthill et al. (2003) to support the assessment of depressive symptomatology in individuals with an intellectual disability. The scale focuses on symptoms, not a clinical diagnosis. This question was only answered by those who could self-report. While the GDS-LD was used to measure depressive symptoms for people with an intellectual disability, the CES-D was used in the general population.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points

- Note that these data show the percentage of people who have symptoms of depression that were above the cut-off point on the GDS-LD
- When asked about a doctor’s diagnosis, 16% reported that they had a doctor’s diagnosis of depression compared to 10% who showed depressive symptoms using this scale
- Slightly more women demonstrated depressive symptoms (11%) than men (9%)
- More participants with a mild level of intellectual disability had identified depressive symptoms (11%) than those with a moderate (9%) or a severe/profound (9%) level of intellectual disability

DEPRESSION IN THE GENERAL POPULATION

11% of people aged 56+ have depression

Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017
81% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ report high levels of life satisfaction

Life satisfaction shows that people are happy overall with how their life is going. They may not be satisfied in every domain but feel that, on balance, they are satisfied with their lives. More research has been conducted on quality of life in people with an intellectual disability rather than on the subjective wellbeing and life satisfaction of the person with an intellectual disability (Lucas-Carrasco & Salvador-Carulla, 2012).

This question was only answered by those who could self-report. This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points

- 81% of people aged 40-49 reported high levels of life satisfaction compared to 77% of people aged 65+
- 86% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability reported high levels of life satisfaction, compared to 77% with a moderate level of intellectual disability
- 94% of people living independently or with family reported high levels of life satisfaction

Figure 50: Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who report high levels of life satisfaction, by age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

LIFE SATISFACTION IN THE GENERAL POPULATION

86% of people aged 56+ report a high level of life satisfaction

Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017
15% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have anxiety

**INDICATOR:**
PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AGED 40+ WITH ANXIETY

Anxiety has been found to have a negative impact on the functioning and wellbeing of older people. It is associated with increased frailty and diminished wellbeing and increased use of health services (De Beurs et al., 1999). This indicator shows the results of an anxiety scale for people with an intellectual disability. The Glasgow Anxiety Scale for People with a Learning Disability (GAS-LD) was developed by Mindham and Espie (2003) to support the assessment of anxiety in individuals with an intellectual disability. The scale focuses on symptoms, not a clinical diagnosis. This question was only answered by those who could self-report.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

**Key points**
- These data show the percentage of people who showed symptoms of anxiety that were above the cut-off point on the GAS-AD
- When asked about a doctor’s diagnosis, 32% reported that they had a doctor’s diagnosis of anxiety compared to 15% who showed symptoms of anxiety using this scale
- 19% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability had anxiety symptoms compared to 13% of those with a moderate level of intellectual disability

**ANXIETY IN THE GENERAL POPULATION**

4% of people aged 56+ report having moderate or severe levels of anxiety

*The indicator for people with an intellectual disability measures presence of anxiety symptoms rather than severity of anxiety

Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017
HEALTHY AGEING: MENTAL HEALTH

52% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have an emotional, nervous or psychiatric condition

Mental health is one of the most important determinants of good quality of life in older people. It is well recognised that mental health problems are common in people with an intellectual disability and have a significantly higher prevalence than in the general population (Cooper et al., 2007).

This indicator examined the prevalence of emotional, nervous or psychiatric problems of people aged 40+. Participants were asked ‘Has a doctor ever told you that you have an emotional, nervous or psychiatric condition?’.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points

• There was little change in the prevalence of mental health conditions from Wave 1 (50%) to Wave 3 (52%)
• The prevalence of emotional, nervous or psychiatric conditions were highest for those with a severe/profound level of intellectual disability (65%)
• Anxiety is the most common diagnosed condition, and slightly higher in the 40-49 age group. Overall, emotional and psychiatric conditions are higher for those aged 65+

Figure 52: Prevalence of emotional and psychiatric conditions in people with an intellectual disability aged 40+, by age and type of condition

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)
HEALTHY AGEING: HEALTHCARE

14% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ and living in the community have received home care services in the past twelve months

With the increased longevity of people with an intellectual disability, future housing beyond the family home is proving an increasing challenge as people with an intellectual disability are outliving their family carers. More research is needed into the supports required for those with an intellectual disability living in the community.

This indicator shows data for those living independently or with family or living in a community setting who were receiving home care services. Home care includes: home help; personal care attendant; and/or meals on wheels. This does not include staff working in disability services who may carry duties akin to this.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

INDICATOR:
PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AGED 40+ AND LIVING IN THE COMMUNITY WHO HAVE RECEIVED HOME CARE SERVICES IN THE PREVIOUS TWELVE MONTHS

Key points

• Personal care attendant was the most used service at 8%
• 7% of people received home help
• 20% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability received home help compared to 10% with a moderate level of intellectual disability

HOME CARE SERVICES IN THE GENERAL POPULATION

11% of people aged 70+ living in the community have received home care services in the previous twelve months

Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017

Figure 53:
Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ and living in the community who have received home care services in the past twelve months, by age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)
57% of eligible women with an intellectual disability have received a mammogram

Many chronic conditions can be prevented, deferred or mitigated through good health promotion and preventative screening.

This indicator shows the percentage of women with an intellectual disability who have attended a BreastCheck screening service. BreastCheck Ireland helps to ensure that women with disabilities can use the service easily and have made efforts to ensure that simple, sensitive and easy to understand language is used in BreastCheck materials. This indicator presents data on women with an intellectual disability who have had a mammogram at some point.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

**INDICATOR:**
PERCENTAGE OF WOMEN WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AGED 40+ WHO ARE ELIGIBLE FOR SCREENING WHO HAVE HAD A MAMMOGRAM IN THE PREVIOUS TWO YEARS

**Key points**
- 70% of women with an intellectual disability over the age of 65 had a mammogram in the previous two years
- 63% of women living independently or with family had a mammogram, compared to 61% in a community group home and 51% living in a residential setting
- 70% of women with a mild level of intellectual disability had a mammogram
- 28% of women with a severe/profound level of intellectual disability had a mammogram
- There has been an increase in people receiving a mammogram screening from Wave 1 (48%) to Wave 3 (57%)

**WOMEN RECEIVING A MAMMOGRAM IN THE GENERAL POPULATION**

75% of women eligible for screening in the general population have received a mammogram in the previous two years

91% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have received a flu vaccine in the previous two years

The seasonal flu is a highly infectious respiratory illness and spreads rapidly through droplets from the coughing and sneezing of infected people. The vaccine protects against flu strains. It is recommended that people in high-risk groups should receive the vaccine on an annual basis (HSE, 2011b).

This indicator presents the percentage of people with an intellectual disability who have received the flu vaccine.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

**Figure 55:** Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who have had the flu vaccine in the previous two years, by gender and age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

Key points

- Men and women report similar levels for receiving the flu vaccine across all ages
- Percentage of people getting the flu vaccine remained high (over 90%) across all three waves
- 94% of people with severe/profound level of intellectual disability had the flu vaccine compared to 87% of those with a mild level of intellectual disability
- 93% of those living in a residential setting received the flu vaccine compared to 79% living with family or independently

**FLU VACCINE IN THE GENERAL POPULATION**

62% of people aged 65+ have received the flu vaccine in the previous two years

Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017
73% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ were taking five or more medications

In Wave 3 of IDS-TILDA, it was found that 97% of participants were taking medicines. Polypharmacy is defined as the use of 5–9 medications. Excessive polypharmacy is defined as 10+ medications. Polypharmacy was commonplace for older adults with an intellectual disability and may be partly explained by the high prevalence of multimorbidity reported. Review of appropriateness of medication use is essential, as polypharmacy places ageing people with an intellectual disability at risk of adverse effects (O’Dwyer, 2016).

Participants were asked to list all of their current medications in a pre-interview questionnaire.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

**Key points**
- 40% of people reported polypharmacy
- 33% reported excessive polypharmacy
- The most frequent type of medication was antipsychotics, with 46% reporting use
93% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have had their cholesterol checked

High cholesterol is a common risk factor frequently associated with a subsequent cardiac event. Overall, prevalence of high cholesterol appears to be lower for people with an intellectual disability compared to the general population (McCarron et al., 2017; Janicki et al., 2002).

This indicator shows the percentage of people aged 40+ who have had a blood test for cholesterol.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points

• There was an increase across the three waves of those who had their cholesterol checked, from 79% in Wave 1 to 82% in Wave 2 and 93% in Wave 3

• 96% of people aged 65+ have had their cholesterol checked

• 84% of people living independently or with family had a blood test for cholesterol compared to 94% of people living in a residential setting

• There is no notable difference between men and women in terms of cholesterol screening

Figure 58: Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who have had their cholesterol checked in the previous two years, by age

CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)
SECTION 3.3: HEALTHY AGEING

HEALTHY AGEING: HEALTHCARE

97% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have had their blood pressure measured in the previous two years

**INDICATOR:**
PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AGED 40+ WHO HAVE HAD THEIR BLOOD PRESSURE MEASURED IN THE PREVIOUS TWO YEARS

Treatment and prevention of disease is a high priority for people with an intellectual disability due to the health disparities compared to the general population, and greater prevalence of health conditions among people with intellectual disabilities (Iacono & Sutherland, 2006). Comprehensive and proactive screening is, therefore, important for this population.

This indicator shows the percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who have had their blood pressure measured in the previous two years.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points
- Screening was high across all levels of intellectual disability
- Screening increased from 94% in Wave 1 to 97% in Wave 3

Figure 59:
Percentage of people aged 40+ with an intellectual disability who had had their blood pressure checked in the previous two years, by age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)
HEALTHY AGEING: SOCIAL CARE

20% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ report an unmet need for community care service

INDICATOR: PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AGED 40+ WHO REPORT UNMET NEED FOR A COMMUNITY CARE SERVICE

Unmet needs for services can lead to increased hospital or nursing home admissions. Unmet needs are also linked to weight loss, dehydration, falls and higher levels of hospital admission (La Plante et al., 2004).

Community care services include: Public Health Nurse; Occupational Therapy; Chiropody; Physiotherapy; Speech and Language; Social Work; Psychology/Counselling; Home Help; Personal Care Attendant; Meals-on-Wheels; Day Centres; Optician; Dental; Hearing; Dietician; Respite Care. Reasons include: ‘never heard of or did not know available’; ‘transport difficulties’; ‘cost’; ‘reluctant/don’t have time to apply’; ‘not eligible’.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points
- Unmet needs were higher in people aged 50-64 compared to other age groups
- Two-thirds of people with a severe/profound level of intellectual disability answered ‘yes’ to services that they would benefit from but are not receiving
- 25% of men and 18% of women believe they would benefit from services they are currently not receiving

Figure 60: Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who report that there is a service from which they would benefit, that they are not receiving at present, by age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

UNMET NEED FOR COMMUNITY CARE IN THE GENERAL POPULATION

13% of people aged 56+ report unmet need for a community care service

Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017
A change in where a person lives is a common key life event that most people will experience at some stage during their life. Typically for the general population, this event happens from adolescent to young adulthood (Sixsmith & Sixsmith, 2008). For someone with an intellectual disability, however, the concept of home is quite different; many people with an intellectual disability have historically been placed within segregated institutionalised spaces (Bigby, 2006) with others living in the family home throughout their life. With the increased longevity of people with an intellectual disability and a policy emphasis on community-based living (HSE, 2011), however, more people with an intellectual disability may live independently or with family over time.

This indicator shows data for the residential circumstances of those registered on the National Intellectual Disability Database in 2017. The NIDD is a service-planning tool to capture data on the usage of, and need for, specialist services for people with an intellectual disability. This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

**Figure 61:** Residential circumstance of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+

Source: National Intellectual Disability Database [2017].

**Key points**
- 31% of people aged 40+ were living with family. 10% were living independently. 35% were in a community group home. 17% were living in a residential setting.
Healthy Ageing: Carers Health

25% of carers report high levels of stress or tension

**INDICATOR:** Percentage of Carers Aged 50+ Who Report High Levels of Stress or Distress

Families are now the main providers of support for people with an intellectual disability, be they parents, siblings, relatives or foster parents (Kelly, 2015). While living in the family home offers significant advantages to a person with an intellectual disability as well as to the carers, negative effects include mental health, isolation, caregiver stress. The carer may be an ageing parent who may also have support needs or may be caring for a spouse, or may be a sibling who may be caring for a parent, a sibling and their own children.

This indicator shows occurrence of stress reported by family caregivers.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

25% of carers report high levels of stress or tension

**Key points**

- 23% of carers said that their health had suffered due to caring responsibilities
- 62% of carers had been providing care for over ten years
- 61% of carers stated that constantly being on-call was the most difficult thing about caring

**Figure 62:** Percentage of carers experiencing stress, by waves of IDS-TILDA

Source: PhD in preparation using IDS-TILDA data

**Carer Stress in the General Population**

27% of carers aged 50+ report a high level of stress or distress

Source: QNHS Special Module on Carers, 2009
SECTION 3.4 SECURITY
### GOAL 3

Enable people to age with confidence, security and dignity in their own homes and communities for as long as possible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOMAIN</th>
<th>KEY INDICATORS</th>
<th>% ID</th>
<th>% GENERAL POPULATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FINANCIAL SECURITY</strong></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who report that a shortage of money stops them from doing the things they want to do</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HOUSING</strong></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who are not living with family and who live with five or more people</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who have a key to their own home</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Generally n/a indicates that comparable data was not included in the National Positive Ageing Indicators Report as this is an additional indicator for people with an intellectual disability.
In the general population, there is substantial evidence to suggest that people with lower income have poorer health, more chronic conditions and higher rates of disability (Wakabayashi & Donato, 2006).

This indicator shows the percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who reported that having a shortage of money stopped them from doing what they want to do, e.g. food, heating, going out, visit pub, hobby, holiday.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

Table 13: Effect of shortage of money on people with an intellectual disability aged 40+

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I HAD THIS ITEM</th>
<th>I DID NOT HAVE AS I COULDN'T AFFORD</th>
<th>I DID NOT HAVE AS I DID NOT WANT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>CI</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clothes</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>98.4-99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoes</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>93.2-96.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>98.9-99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heating</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>97.3-99.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Friends and Family</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>83.5-89.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Going Out</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>95.3-98.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit Pub</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>80.6-87.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hobby</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>71.6-79.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holiday</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>73.5-81.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)

Key points

- The vast majority of people reported that a shortage of money did not stop them from doing what they wanted to do.

Shortage of money in the general population

14% of people aged 56+ report that a shortage of money stops them from doing the things they want to do.

Source: TILDA Wave 4 2016-2017
66% of people with an intellectual disability who are not living with family live with five or more people

The ‘Time to Move On from Congregated Settings’ (HSE, 2011a) report proposed that people moving from congregated settings should move to ordinary neighbourhoods in the community with individualised support designed to meet their individual needs. The report recommended that people moving from congregated settings should share their home with a maximum of four other people with a disability (HSE, 2011a).

This indicator shows the percentage of people who are not living with family or independently, i.e. living in the community or in a residential setting, and who live with five or more people.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points

- The average number of people living in a house was 7
- Of those living in a community group home, 51% were living with five or more people
- Of those living in residential setting, 80% were living with five or more people
Generally, adults with intellectual disabilities have had little or no influence over service policies and planning that directly affect their lives. Having a key to their own home is a measure of independence (McConkey et al., 2004).

In this indicator, it is identified how many people have a key to their own home, in response to a ‘yes’ or ‘no’ question.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

33% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have a key to their own home

**INDICATOR: PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AGED 40+ WHO HAVE A KEY TO THEIR OWN HOME**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE 40-49</th>
<th>AGE 50-64</th>
<th>AGE 65+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key points**

- Interestingly, of the 167 who had moved residence in the previous three years, a lower percentage, 28%, reported having a key to their own home
- 63% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability had a key to their own home
- 76% of people who are living independently or with family had a key
SECTION 3.5 CROSS-CUTTING OBJECTIVES
### SECTION 3.5: CROSS-CUTTING OBJECTIVES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>KEY INDICATORS</th>
<th>% ID</th>
<th>% General Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ATTITUDES TOWARDS AGEING</strong></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who think there are good things about getting older</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TECHNOLOGY</strong></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who use the internet</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who have access to and can use a computer or tablet</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who own and use a mobile phone</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Generally n/a indicates that comparable data was not included in the National Positive Ageing Indicators Report as this is an additional indicator for people with an intellectual disability.
48% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ feel that there were good things about getting older

Successful ageing is often about adapting to changes over time and having a positive view of the age at which one finds oneself. In Wave 1 of IDS-TILDA, it was found that 63% of people felt that older people can do most things that younger people can do, e.g. work, play sport or use a computer (McCarron et al., 2011). Challenging negative ageing concepts is essential to promote health and wellbeing (Burke et al., 2014).

This indicator shows the percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who felt there are good things about getting older. This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points

- 56% of people aged 40-49 felt that there were good things about getting older. This compared to 40% of people aged 65+
- 47% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability felt there were good things about getting older compared to 53% with a moderate level of intellectual disability
CROSS-CUTTING OBJECTIVE: TECHNOLOGY

12% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ use the internet

Internet and computer technologies have become a crucial part of participation and engagement. Technology is constantly offering new developments and opportunities for social interaction, knowledge and employment (Chadwick et al., 2013). People with an intellectual disability have lower rates of internet use than the general population. Training and support is necessary to ensure that people with an intellectual disability are not excluded from the opportunities available (Chiner et al., 2017).

This indicator shows the percentage of people who use the internet.

This indicator is included in the Positive Ageing Indicator set for both the general population and for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points

• Rates of computer use was highest in those aged 40-49 and declined in the older age groups

• 17% of people living independently or with family used the internet compared to 16% living in a community group home and 8% living in a residential setting

• 22% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability reported using the internet

INTERNET USE IN THE GENERAL POPULATION

64% of people aged 50+ used the internet, other than for work

Source: EQLS Fourth Round 2016
18% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ have access to and use a computer or tablet

**INDICATOR:**
PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE WITH AN INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY AGED 40+ WHO HAVE ACCESS TO AND CAN USE A COMPUTER OR TABLET

People with an intellectual disability have less access to a computer and the internet than their peers in the general population (Chadwick et al., 2013). Reasons given for this disparity include a lack of funding, lack of knowledge among caregivers and inadequate planning and lack of training (Li-Tsang et al., 2005).

This indicator shows the percentage of people who answered ‘yes’ to ‘Do you have access to a computer or tablet?’ and ‘How often do you use a computer or tablet?’.

This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points

- 31% of people aged 40–49 have access to and use a computer, compared to 6% of people aged 65+
- Of those who have access: 20% use it most of the time; 41% use it sometimes; 17% use it rarely; 22% never use it
- 39% of people living independently or with family have access to and use a computer compared to 22% living in the community and 12% living in a residential setting

Figure 67: Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who have access to and use a computer or tablet, by age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)
CROSS-CUTTING OBJECTIVE: TECHNOLOGY

22% of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ own and use a mobile phone

Studies suggest that there is a gap between mobile phone use for people with an intellectual disability and the general population. The primary reasons given for this gap include cost, perception that it is not needed and a lack of accessibility (Bryen et al., 2007).

This indicator shows the percentage of people who answered ‘yes’ to ‘Do you own a mobile phone?’ and ‘Do you use your mobile phone?’. This indicator is included only in the set of indicators for people with an intellectual disability.

Key points

• 26% of people said that they own a mobile phone. Of those who own a mobile phone, 83% said they use it, 3% said that don’t know how to use it and 14% said they don’t use it

• 53% of people with a mild level of intellectual disability own and use a mobile phone with 17% of people with a moderate level of intellectual disability owning and using a mobile phone

Figure 68:
Percentage of people with an intellectual disability aged 40+ who own and use a mobile phone, by age

Source: Intellectual Disability Supplement to the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (2017). CI: Confidence Intervals (95%)
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